#### 59th Medical Wing



59 MDW
Dermatology
Product Line
Analysis
Clinic Input

**Information Brief** 

Briefer: Lt Col Jay Viernes

Date: 25 Aug 04

#### Overview

- Current/Future Problem Areas
- Possible Solutions
- Support Requirements from 59 MDW/SA-MM
- Initial Clinic Business Rules

## Areas of Concern Current/Future Problem Areas

- No current problems with consult mgmt process or ability to see WH-enrolled Prime patients
  - Adequate GME cases with current mix of Prime and Space-A patients
- Possible future problems:
  - "Medicare" documentation and supervision "rules"
  - Follow-up appointment booking by CAMO?

## Areas of Concern Current/Future Problem Areas

- CMS\* Supervision "Rules"
  - Carrier Manual Instructions (CMI), Section 15016, "Supervising Physician in Teaching Settings"
    - Require:
      - physical presence of staff provider for EVERY pt seen by resident
      - a significant portion of the note be written by the supervising / staff physician
    - IF required by DoD teaching MTFs, will affect:
      - # of pts able to be seen by a clinic
      - quality of "education" for residents

## Areas of Concern Current/Future Problem Areas

- Follow-Up Appt Booking by CAMO?
  - CAMO unable to understand specific clinic requirements for multitude of various appointment types, by clinic
  - Increased risk for pt being booked in wrong appt type
  - Decreased customer service

#### Possible Solutions

- CMS Supervision Rqmts
  - Need official DoD guidance on interpretation of CMS rules
  - Use VA Guidance (VHA Directive 2004-009, 19 Mar 04, "Revised Billing Guidance for Services Provided by Supervising Practitioners and Residents")
    - "...teaching physician billing rules do not apply to physicians in VA"

#### "Revised Billing Guidance for Services Provided by Supervising Practitioners and Residents" (VHA Directive 2004-009 (Mar 19, 2004)

- Provides guidance for billing insurance carriers for care provided by medical practioners in teaching environment
  - Assumes residents are "properly supervised"
- Recognizes requirement for teaching provider "presence" and specific documentation for facilities receiving GME support \$\$\$
- Recognizes that VA facilities do NOT receive Direct Medical Education (DME) or IME funds from CMS
- Given above, states that VA obtained CMS "permission" to:
  - waive billing "rules" for physicians seeing pts in VA setting
  - exempt residents from enrolling in Medicare in order to file an insurance claim
- Updated their Resident Supervision handbook to include documentation rqmts (less stringent)

### Possible Solutions (cont)

- F/U Appt Booking
  - Clinics may request CAMO assistance as needed
  - Request individual clinics maintain control
    - "Right Time, Right Patient"
    - Possibly more customer friendly

#### Support Requirements

No change to current support manpower authorizations

### Initial Clinic Business Rules FOCUS AREAS

ACCESS

CONSULT MANAGEMENT

CODING

# Initial Clinic Business Rules *ACCESS*

- Measure / Track Demand (new consults and follow-ups)
  - Know Prime and "GME cases not met by Prime" population
  - Supply (# appts) >/= Demand
    - Use pseudo-"open access" model if able
- Establish / Manage Clinic Schedule
  - Balance didactic schedule with need for clinic appts
    - If demand exceeds # appts, adjust schedule/templates
    - Ensure staff : resident supervision ratio satisfies RRC rqmts
  - Use "provider-scheduled" procedure and f/u clinics
  - Flight CC reviews ALL schedules prior to publishing
    - No changes allowed without flight CC approval
    - Load schedules min 4-6 weeks ahead

# Initial Clinic Business Rules CONSULT MGMT

- Establish clinic process for seeing routine vs nonroutine priority pts
  - Educate all staff on process
  - Advertise this process to all referring clinics/providers
- A provider, not admin staff, reviews ALL new electronic consults
  - Must FIRST screen each routine priority consult for eligibility
- Flight CC/Clinic Chief will actively manage "access to care" for new consults
  - Check "next available" appt for each appt type
  - Communicate clinic access timeline with consult reviewers BEFORE they accept consult as "appoint to MTF"
- Monitor completion of consult documentation

# Initial Clinic Business Rules **CODING**

- Educate all provider staff on documentation requirements
  - Establish new and on-going training
- Use templates to assist providers in satisfying documentation rqmts (for both coding & JCAHO)
- Establish record flow process to ensure all records are coded
  - Staff providers will review / sign ALL resident notes
  - Monitor number of records coded; goal > 95%
  - Monitor data quality audit results
- Consider the "coder" a member of clinic staff

es: I	DD Form 2569 (Comple	eted)	TIME IN:	TIME OUT:
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	CC:			New Established Cons
		severity, duration, timing, context, mo	odifying factors, associated signs/sx	(Coding info: BRIEF: 1-3 EXTENDED: 4+)
IONS: Contact Dermatitis eratosis				
ell Carcinoma e & Dessication				
broma odessication &			·	
iage al Inclusion Cyst				
dy Skin Exam xylin & Eosin nal Nevus				
orescence un Hydroxide				
trogen nt Melanoma n-Situ				
xdynium:Yttirum- uminum-Garnet				
Metanoma Skin er an of Uncertain		IS: (CIRCLE systems discussed: EX		hills, Fatigue, Wt loss,
r -Acid-Schiff	Nausea/Vomiting, Skin, Endo, Immunology	ENT, Eyes, Cardiovascular,	Lung, GI, GU, Neuro, Musc	suloskeletal, Hematologic, Psychiatric
ye Laser	Lindo, manuficion		<u> </u>	) (so)
us cell carcinoma ic				
us cell carcinoma ic ic Keratosis Iumerous To Count			0 2 4 6 8	10 (ages 2 months – under 7 yrs)
ic ic Keratosis	Does pt have pain? YI	ES NO IF YES,out of 10	none little more More Lo	
cic ic Keratosis Iumerous To Count	Intervention:		none little more More Lo	
ic Keratosis Iumerous To Count PH	Intervention:	(Coding info: Prob Foc = 1 to 5; Exp PF = 6 to 1: ment the specific positive/abnl findings)	none little more More Lo	
cic ic Keratosis Iumerous To Count	Intervention:		none little more More Lo	
ic Keratosis Iumerous To Count PH	Intervention:	(Coding info: Prob Foc = 1 to 5; Exp PF = 6 to 1: ment the specific positive/abnl findings)	none little more More Lo	

Liver, spleen / Anus

Sponsor: 1 JOSEPH P III Unit: RR: OPR CAMP BULLIS Wk Ph: 494--

STA	NDARD FORM 600 (Re	v. 6-97) BACK		
	cal Decision Making ESSMENT and PLAN:	Reviewed medical record	Reviewed CHCS / ICDB data	Medical record not available
		,		
	•			
	Follow-up	(ADR schedule	ed / yellow or blue slip given) OR	Follow-up with PCM
	Destruction of		X # as described above us	ing cryosurgery .
	(1:10 sodium hicarbons	AVE / PUNCH; BIOPSY / REMO ate) lidocaine with epinephrine 1:200 mostasis OR closure with	OVAL mm obtained 0,000.  suture; petrolatum, bandage ar	area cleansed and anesthetized with 0.5% buffered and post care education discussed.
	Other:			

Discussed plan with patient &/or primary caretaker	Adverse drug reaction to:	
Patient &/or primary caretaker verbalizes understanding.	Type of reaction:	
Barriers to learning identified? Yes No If Yes, explain:	Potential drug interactions discussed:	
Sun protection / Skin cancer education / Self-skin examination / Dry skin care education	Reportable Disease	
Pt instructed to contact clinic with any questions/concerns	Pt referred to PCM for pain or other (specify):	
Potential side effects of medication / treatment/ procedure discussed with patient	Nutrition Referral Sent? (If pt deemed "at risk") Yes No If No, explain:	
Will review results with patient at return visit / by telephone	For CONSULTS: Note/visit documented on electronic SF513	
Informed pt to discontinue meds if pregnant or if develops unusual symptoms	STAFFED WITH:	
Handouts given/ discussed with patient		
STAFF (if applicable):		
At this visit, I supervised the resident AND,		
I saw and evaluated the patient  I agree with the resident's H&P and plan of care as documented in the resident's note.	I discussed case with the resident.  I was physically present for key portions of the proce	

GENERAL DERM CLINIC VISIT (08/04)